

FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-004480-6
CITY OF PALOUSE
SUMMARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	2
DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY	2
History.....	2
Collection System Status	2
Treatment Processes.....	2
Discharge Outfall	2
Residual Solids.....	2
PERMIT STATUS.....	3
SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT	3
WASTEWATER CHARACTERIZATION	3
SEPA COMPLIANCE.....	3
PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITATIONS.....	3
DESIGN CRITERIA	4
TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	4
SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	5
Numerical Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life.....	6
Numerical Criteria for the Protection of Human Health.....	6
Narrative Criteria	6
Antidegradation.....	6
Critical Conditions	6
Mixing Zones	7
Description of the Receiving Water.....	7
Surface Water Quality Criteria	7
Consideration of Surface Water Quality-Based Limits for Numeric Criteria	8
Human Health	11
Sediment Quality	11
GROUND WATER QUALITY LIMITATIONS.....	11
COMPARISON OF EFFLUENT LIMITS WITH THE EXISTING PERMIT ISSUED JULY 24, 2000.....	11
MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	12
LAB ACCREDITATION	12
OTHER PERMIT CONDITIONS	12
REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING	12
PREVENTION OF FACILITY OVERLOADING.....	12
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M).....	12
RESIDUAL SOLIDS HANDLING.....	13
PRETREATMENT	13
WASTEWATER PERMIT REQUIRED.....	13
GENERAL CONDITIONS	13

FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-004480-6

City of Palouse

PERMIT ISSUANCE PROCEDURES	13
PERMIT MODIFICATIONS	13
RECOMMENDATION FOR PERMIT ISSUANCE	13
REFERENCES FOR TEXT AND APPENDICES.....	14
APPENDIX A--PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INFORMATION.....	15
APPENDIX B--GLOSSARY	16
APPENDIX C--TECHNICAL CALCULATIONS	21
APPENDIX D--RESPONSE TO COMMENTS	22

INTRODUCTION

The Federal Clean Water Act (FCWA, 1972, and later modifications, 1977, 1981, and 1987) established water quality goals for the navigable (surface) waters of the United States. One of the mechanisms for achieving the goals of the Clean Water Act is the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System of permits (NPDES permits), which is administered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA has authorized the State of Washington to administer the NPDES permit program within the State of Washington. Chapter 90.48 RCW defines the Department of Ecology's authority and obligations in administering the wastewater discharge permit program.

The regulations adopted by the State include procedures for issuing permits (Chapter 173-220 WAC), technical criteria for discharges from municipal wastewater treatment facilities (Chapter 173-221 WAC), water quality criteria for surface and ground waters (Chapters 173-201A and 200 WAC), and sediment management standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC). These regulations require that a permit be issued before discharge of wastewater to waters of the state is allowed. The regulations also establish the basis for effluent limitations and other requirements which are to be included in the permit. One of the requirements (WAC 173-220-060) for issuing a permit under the NPDES permit program is the preparation of a draft permit and an accompanying fact sheet. Public notice of the availability of the draft permit is required at least thirty days before the permit is issued (WAC 173-220-050). The fact sheet and draft permit are available for review (see Appendix A--Public Involvement of the fact sheet for more detail on the Public Notice procedures).

The fact sheet and draft permit have been reviewed by the Permittee. Errors and omissions identified in this review have been corrected before going to public notice. After the public comment period has closed, the Department will summarize the substantive comments and the response to each comment. The summary and response to comments will become part of the file on the permit and parties submitting comments will receive a copy of the Department's response. The fact sheet will not be revised. Comments and the resultant changes to the permit will be summarized in Appendix D--Response to Comments.

GENERAL INFORMATION	
Applicant	City of Palouse
Facility Name and Address	Palouse Wastewater Treatment Facility W. 500 Main St. (Figures 1 and 2)
Type of Treatment:	Extended Aeration Activated Sludge (Biolac® System)
Discharge Location	Palouse River at RM 120 Latitude: 46-° 54' 32" N Longitude: 117-° 04' 55" W.
Water Body ID Number	WA-24-1030

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY

HISTORY

The previous trickling filter facility was constructed in 1957. The plant was in a 100-year flood plain and was subject to periodic flooding caused by high river water levels. The City constructed a new extended aeration activated sludge Biolac® system at the site which was completed November 1, 1995. The concrete basin at the new plant was constructed above the 100-year flood plan elevation.

COLLECTION SYSTEM STATUS

A Wastewater Facility Plan was done by the City in 1979 that showed approximately 80 percent of the collection system needed to be replaced. Most of the original system was built in the 1930's.

The system has over 30,000 linear feet of underground piping and 100 manholes. Since the 1979 report, the City has been continually upgrading the system. Over 8000 feet of piping have been replaced and 5000 feet added. Thirty-five manholes have been replaced and 41 new ones installed.

TREATMENT PROCESSES

The facility is an extended aeration activated sludge system (figure 3). The Biolac® process was installed into a concrete basin. Moving aeration chains provide fine bubble diffusion. There is an integral secondary clarifier. Flow coming into the plant goes through mechanical screening before being pumped to the extended aeration basin. Sludge from the secondary clarifier is aerobically digested and then dewatered in Drimad® system bags for later land application on city-owned property. Flow is monitored inline prior to ultraviolet disinfection. After disinfection, effluent is discharge to the Palouse River.

DISCHARGE OUTFALL

Secondary treated and disinfected effluent is discharged from the facility via a 12-inch single port outfall into the Palouse River. The outfall is located in the lowest portion of the river adjacent to the plant site. The port is located at midstream and discharges downstream, parallel to the river flow.

RESIDUAL SOLIDS

The treatment facilities remove solids during the treatment of the wastewater at the headworks (grit and screenings), in addition to incidental solids (rags, scum, and other debris) removed as part of the routine maintenance of the equipment. Grit, rags, scum and screenings are drained and disposed of as solid waste at the local landfill under a permit from Whitman County Health District.. Solids removed from the clarifier are treated by aerobic digestion and dewatered in a

Driamad Sludge Bagging System. These Class B biosolids are land applied under a general permit from the Washington Department of Ecology Solid Waste Program.

PERMIT STATUS

The previous permit for this facility was issued on July 24, 2000. The previous permit placed effluent limitations on 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), pH, Fecal Coliform bacteria, and Total Ammonia (as NH₃-N).

An application for permit renewal was submitted to the Department on December 22, 2004 and accepted by the Department on January 4, 2005.

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT

The facility received its last inspection on June 15, 2004.

During the history of the previous permit, the Permittee has remained in compliance, based on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) submitted to the Department and inspections conducted by the Department.

WASTEWATER CHARACTERIZATION

The concentration of pollutants in the discharge was reported in the NPDES application and in discharge monitoring reports. The effluent is characterized as follows:

Table 1: Wastewater Characterization

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Concentration</u>
BOD	Range 3 – 8 mg/L
TSS	Range 2 – 21 mg/L
pH	6.8 – 7.7 s.u.
Fecal Coliform	8 – 2000 #/100mL
Ammonia (as N)	.05 – 1.07 mg/L
Dissolved Oxygen	2.9 - 6.1 mg/L

SEPA COMPLIANCE

Both SEPA and SERP were completed on November 30, 1994.

PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITATIONS

Federal and State regulations require that effluent limitations set forth in a NPDES permit must be either technology- or water quality-based. Technology-based limitations for municipal discharges are set by regulation (40 CFR 133, and Chapters 173-220 and 173-221 WAC). Water quality-based limitations are based upon compliance with the Surface Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC), Ground Water Standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC), Sediment Quality Standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC) or the National Toxics Rule (Federal Register, Volume 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992.) or a Waste Load Allocation (WLA) approved after a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) study has been completed. The most stringent of these

types of limits must be chosen for each of the parameters of concern. Each of these types of limits is described in more detail below.

The limits in this permit are based in part on information received in the application. The effluent constituents in the application were evaluated on a technology- and water quality-basis. The limits necessary to meet the rules and regulations of the State of Washington were determined and included in this permit. Ecology does not develop effluent limits for all pollutants that may be reported on the application as present in the effluent. Some pollutants are not treatable at the concentrations reported, are not controllable at the source, are not listed in regulation, and do not have a reasonable potential to cause a water quality violation. Effluent limits are not always developed for pollutants that may be in the discharge but not reported as present in the application. In those circumstances the permit does not authorize discharge of the non-reported pollutants. Effluent discharge conditions may change from the conditions reported in the permit application. If significant changes occur in any constituent, as described in 40 CFR 122.42(a), the Permittee is required to notify the Department of Ecology. The Permittee may be in violation of the permit until the permit is modified to reflect additional discharge of pollutants.

DESIGN CRITERIA

In accordance with WAC 173-220-150 (1)(g), flows or waste loadings shall not exceed approved design criteria.

The design criteria for this treatment facility are taken from February 1995 engineering report prepared by Wilson Engineering and are as follows:

Table 2: Design Standards for the Palouse WWTP.

Parameter	Design Quantity
Monthly average flow (max. month)	0.28 mgd
Monthly average dry weather flow	0.13 mgd
Average wastewater flow	0.16 mgd
Maximum daily flow	0.56 mgd
Average annual BOD ₅ influent loading	270 lbs/day
Maximum monthly BOD influent loading	340 lbs/day
Design population equivalent	1050

TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Municipal wastewater treatment plants are a category of discharger for which technology-based effluent limits have been promulgated by federal and state regulations. These effluent limitations are given in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 40 CFR Part 133 (federal) and in Chapter 173-221 WAC (state). These regulations are performance standards that constitute all known available and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment for municipal wastewater.

The following technology-based limits for pH, fecal coliform, BOD₅, and TSS are for advanced wastewater treatment and exceed those found in Chapter 173-221 WAC are:

Table 3: Technology-based Limits.

Parameter	Limit
pH:	shall be within the range of 6 to 9 standard units.
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	Monthly Geometric Mean = 100 organisms/100 mL Weekly Geometric Mean = 200 organisms/100 mL
BOD ₅ (concentration)	Average Monthly Limit is the most stringent of the following: - 10 mg/L - may not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the average influent concentration Average Weekly Limit = 15 mg/L
TSS (concentration)	Average Monthly Limit is the most stringent of the following: - 15 mg/L - may not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the average influent concentration Average Weekly Limit = 22.5 mg/L

The following technology-based mass limits are for advanced wastewater treatment and exceed the values found in WAC 173-220-130(3)(b) and 173-221-030(11)(b).

Monthly average effluent BOD₅ mass loadings (lbs/day) were calculated as annual average design flow (0.16 MGD) x Concentration limit (10 mg/L) x 8.34 (conversion factor) = mass limit (13.3 lbs/day).

The weekly average effluent BOD₅ mass loading is calculated as 1.5 X monthly loading = 20 lbs/day.

Monthly average effluent TSS mass loadings (lbs/day) were calculated as annual average design flow (0.16 mgd) x Concentration limit (15 mg/L) x 8.34 (conversion factor) = mass limit (20 lbs/day).

The weekly average effluent TSS mass loading is calculated as 1.5 x monthly loading = 30 lbs/day.

SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

In order to protect existing water quality and preserve the designated beneficial uses of Washington's surface waters, WAC 173-201A-060 states that waste discharge permits shall be conditioned such that the discharge will meet established Surface Water Quality Standards. The Washington State Surface Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC) is a state regulation designed to protect the beneficial uses of the surface waters of the state. Water quality-based effluent limitations may be based on an individual waste load allocation (WLA) or on a WLA developed during a basin-wide total maximum daily loading study (TMDL).

NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE

"Numerical" water quality criteria are numerical values set forth in the State of Washington's Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters (Chapter 173-201A WAC). They specify the levels of pollutants allowed in the receiving water while remaining protective of aquatic life.

Numerical criteria set forth in the Water Quality Standards are used along with chemical and physical data for the wastewater and receiving water to derive the effluent limits in the discharge permit. When surface water quality-based limits are more stringent or potentially more stringent than technology-based limitations, they must be used in a permit.

NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH

The state was issued 91 numeric water quality criteria for the protection of human health by the U.S. EPA (EPA 1992). These criteria are designed to protect humans from cancer and other disease and are primarily applicable to fish and shellfish consumption and drinking water from surface waters.

NARRATIVE CRITERIA

In addition to numerical criteria, "narrative" water quality criteria (WAC 173-201A-030) limit toxic, radioactive, or deleterious material concentrations below those which have the potential to adversely affect characteristic water uses, cause acute or chronic toxicity to biota, impair aesthetic values, or adversely affect human health. Narrative criteria protect the specific beneficial uses of all fresh (WAC 173-201A-130) and marine (WAC 173-201A-140) waters in the State of Washington.

ANTIDegradation

The State of Washington's Antidegradation Policy requires that discharges into a receiving water shall not further degrade the existing water quality of the water body. In cases where the natural conditions of a receiving water are of lower quality than the criteria assigned, the natural conditions shall constitute the water quality criteria. Similarly, when receiving waters are of higher quality than the criteria assigned, the existing water quality shall be protected. More information on the State Antidegradation Policy can be obtained by referring to WAC 173-201A-070.

The Department has reviewed existing records and is unable to determine if ambient water quality is either higher or lower than the designated classification criteria given in Chapter 173-201A WAC; therefore, the Department will use the designated classification criteria for this water body in the proposed permit. The discharges authorized by this proposed permit should not cause a loss of beneficial uses.

CRITICAL CONDITIONS

Surface water quality-based limits are derived for the waterbody's critical condition, which represents the receiving water and waste discharge condition with the highest potential for adverse impact on the aquatic biota, human health, and existing or characteristic water body uses.

MIXING ZONES

The Water Quality Standards allow the Department of Ecology to authorize mixing zones around a point of discharge in establishing surface water quality-based effluent limits. Both "acute" and "chronic" mixing zones may be authorized for pollutants that can have a toxic effect on the aquatic environment near the point of discharge. The concentration of pollutants at the boundary of these mixing zones may not exceed the numerical criteria for that type of zone. Mixing zones can only be authorized for discharges that are receiving all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control and treatment (AKART) and in accordance with other mixing zone requirements of WAC 173-201A-100.

The National Toxics Rule (EPA, 1992) allows the chronic mixing zone to be used to meet human health criteria.

Cormix modeling was used to verify the mixing zone calculations done by the engineer for the last permit. The 1.05 acute and 1.5 chronic mixing zone dilution fractions were used again in this permit as they were in the last permit.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING WATER

The facility discharges to the Palouse River which is designated as a Class A receiving water in the vicinity of the outfall. Nearby non-point sources of pollutants include agricultural influences. Characteristic uses include the following:

water supply (domestic, industrial, agricultural); stock watering; fish migration; fish rearing, spawning and harvesting; wildlife habitat; primary contact recreation; sport fishing; boating and aesthetic enjoyment; commerce and navigation.

Water quality of this class shall meet or exceed the requirements for all or substantially all uses.

SURFACE WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

Applicable criteria are defined in Chapter 173-201A WAC for aquatic biota. In addition, U.S. EPA has promulgated human health criteria for toxic pollutants (EPA 1992). Criteria for this discharge are summarized below:

Fecal Coliforms	100 organisms/100 mL maximum geometric mean
Dissolved Oxygen	8 mg/L minimum
Temperature*	20 degrees Celsius maximum or incremental increases above background
pH	6.5 to 8.5 standard units
Turbidity	less than 5 NTUs above background
Toxics	No toxics in toxic amounts (see Appendix C for numeric criteria for toxics of concern for this discharge)

*special temperature condition for this segment of the Palouse River (from WAC 173-201A-130).

The Palouse River is listed on the 303(d) report for fecal coliform, pH, temperature and dissolved oxygen. A TMDL for fecal coliforms was submitted to the EPA on February 7, 2005 for approval. Other TMDLs are just being started and are not expected to be completed for several years.

CONSIDERATION OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED LIMITS FOR NUMERIC CRITERIA

Pollutant concentrations in the proposed discharge exceed water quality criteria with technology-based controls which the Department has determined to be AKART. A mixing zone is authorized in accordance with the geometric configuration, flow restriction, and other restrictions for mixing zones in Chapter 173-201A WAC and are defined as follows:

The dilution factors of effluent to receiving water that occur within these zones have been determined at the critical condition by calculating 25 percent and 2.5 percent of the stream flow at 7Q10. The dilution factors have been determined to be from Appendix C):

	Acute	Chronic
Aquatic Life	1.05	1.5
Human Health, Carcinogen		1.5
Human Health, Non-carcinogen		1.5

Pollutants in an effluent may affect the aquatic environment near the point of discharge (near field) or at a considerable distance from the point of discharge (far field). Toxic pollutants, for example, are near-field pollutants--their adverse effects diminish rapidly with mixing in the receiving water. Conversely, a pollutant such as BOD is a far-field pollutant whose adverse effect occurs away from the discharge even after dilution has occurred. Thus, the method of calculating water quality-based effluent limits varies with the point at which the pollutant has its maximum effect.

The derivation of water quality-based limits also takes into account the variability of the pollutant concentrations in both the effluent and the receiving water.

The critical condition for the Palouse River is the seven day average low river flow with a recurrence interval of ten years (7Q10). Ambient data at critical conditions in the vicinity of the outfall were taken from the TMDL study which considered both historical data and an intensive monitoring study conducted in September-October 1990. The ambient background data used for this permit includes the following from :

Parameter	Value used
7Q10 low flow	148 cfs
Velocity	2.18 ft/sec

FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-004480-6
City of Palouse

Depth	0.96 feet
Width	70.8 feet
Roughness (Manning)	n=0.039
Slope	4.12 E-03 (0.24 degrees)
Temperature	20.7° C
pH (high)	7.9
Dissolved Oxygen	8.0 mg/L
Total Ammonia-N	0.07 mg/L
Fecal Coliform	41/100 mL dry weather (>100/100 mL storm related)
Conductivity	
Salinity	
Turbidity	20 NTU
Hardness	117 mg/L as CaCO ₃
Lead	0.0 (blank contaminated)
Copper	3.8 ug/L (total recoverable estimated value)
Zinc	3.6 ug/L (total recoverable estimated value)
All Other Metals	0.0 (below detection limits)

BOD₅--Under critical conditions there is no predicted violation of the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters. Therefore, the technology-based effluent limitation for BOD₅ was placed in the permit.

The impact of BOD on the receiving water was modeled using Streeter-Phelps (DOSAG2.WK1), at critical condition and with three times (45 mg/l BOD₅) the technology-based effluent limitation for BOD₅ described under "Technology-Based Effluent Limitations" above. The calculations used to determine dissolved oxygen impacts are shown in Appendix C.

BOD₅--This discharge with technology-based limitations results in a small amount of BOD loading relative to the large amount of dilution occurring in the receiving water at critical conditions. Technology-based limitations will be protective of dissolved oxygen criteria in the receiving water.

Temperature--The impact of the discharge on the temperature of the receiving water was modeled by simple mixing analysis at critical condition. The receiving water temperature at the critical condition is 20.7 °C and the effluent temperature is 20.7 °C. The predicted resultant temperature at the boundary of the chronic mixing zone is 20.7°C and the incremental rise is 0.0 °C.

Under critical conditions there is no predicted violation of the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters. Therefore, no effluent limitation for temperature was placed in the proposed permit.

Temperature and pH--The impact of pH and temperature were modeled using the calculations from EPA, 1988. The input variables were dilution factor 1.05, upstream temperature 20.7°C, upstream pH 7.9, upstream alkalinity 158(as mg CaCO₃/L), effluent temperature 20.7°C, effluent pH of 6, effluent pH of 9, and effluent alkalinity 117 (as mg CaCO₃/L).

Under critical conditions there is no predicted violation of the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters. Therefore, the technology-based effluent limitations for pH was placed in the permit and temperature was not limited.

Fecal coliform--The numbers of fecal coliform limit was proposed because the technology based limit for Biolac treatment followed by Ultraviolet Disinfection is the same as the proposed fecal coliform waste load allocation proposed to the EPA. With dilution Palouse's contribution to the fecal coliforms in the Palouse River would be regulated to values below 67 cfu .

Toxic Pollutants--Federal regulations (40 CFR 122.44) require NPDES permits to contain effluent limits for toxic chemicals in an effluent whenever there is a reasonable potential for those chemicals to exceed the surface water quality criteria. This process occurs concurrently with the derivation of technology-based effluent limits. Facilities with technology-based effluent limits defined in regulation are not exempted from meeting the Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters or from having surface water quality-based effluent limits.

The only toxics material that was determined to be present in the discharge is: ammonia. A reasonable potential analysis (See Appendix C) was conducted on these parameters to determine whether or not effluent limitations would be required in this permit.

The determination of the reasonable potential for ammonia to exceed the water quality criteria was evaluated with procedures given in EPA, 1991 (Appendix C) at the critical condition. The critical condition in this case occurs in August and September. The parameters used in the critical condition modeling are as follows: acute dilution factor 1.05 , chronic dilution factor 1.5, receiving water temperature 20.7°C, receiving water alkalinity 158(as mg CaCO₃/L), back ground ammonia in the North Fork of the Palouse River is less than 0.07 mg/l ammonia as nitrogen.

Water quality criteria for metals in Chapter 173-201A WAC are based on the dissolved fraction of the metal.

The Permittee may provide data clearly demonstrating the seasonal partitioning of the dissolved metal in the ambient water in relation to an effluent discharge. Metals criteria may be adjusted on a site-specific basis when data is available clearly demonstrating the seasonal partitioning in the ambient water in relation to an effluent discharge.

Metals criteria may also be adjusted using the water effects ratio approach established by USEPA, as generally guided by the procedures in USEPA Water Quality Standards Handbook, December 1983, as supplemented or replaced.

FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-004480-6

City of Palouse

Valid ambient background data was available for copper, and zinc. Calculations using all applicable data resulted in a determination that there is no reasonable potential for this discharge to cause a violation of water quality standards. This determination assumes that the Permittee meets the other effluent limits of this permit.

Metals criteria may also be adjusted using the water effects ratio approach established by USEPA, as generally guided by the procedures in USEPA Water Quality Standards Handbook, December 1983, as supplemented or replaced.

HUMAN HEALTH

Washington's water quality standards now include 91 numeric health-based criteria that must be considered in NPDES permits. These criteria were promulgated for the state by the U.S. EPA in its National Toxics Rule (Federal Register, Volume 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992).

The Department has determined that the applicant's discharge is unlikely to contain chemicals regulated for human health based on the small size of the facility and the fact that there are no industrial discharges to the sewer system. The discharge will be re-evaluated for impacts to human health at the next permit reissuance.

SEDIMENT QUALITY

The Department has promulgated aquatic sediment standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC) to protect aquatic biota and human health. These standards state that the Department may require Permittees to evaluate the potential for the discharge to cause a violation of applicable standards (WAC 173-204-400).

The Department has determined through a review of the discharger characteristics and effluent characteristics that this discharge has no reasonable potential to violate the Sediment Management Standards.

GROUND WATER QUALITY LIMITATIONS

The Department has promulgated Ground Water Quality Standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC) to protect uses of ground water. Permits issued by the Department shall be conditioned in such a manner so as not to allow violations of those standards (WAC 173-200-100).

This Permittee has no discharge to ground and therefore no limitations are required based on potential effects to ground water.

COMPARISON OF EFFLUENT LIMITS WITH THE EXISTING PERMIT ISSUED JULY 24, 2000

Existing Limits	Proposed Limits
BOD ₅ - 10 mg/L; 15 mg/L	BOD ₅ - 10 mg/L; 15 mg/L
TSS – 15 mg/L; 22.5 mg/L	TSS – 15 mg/L; 22.5 mg/L
Fecal Coliform - 100# mL; 200# mL	Fecal Coliform - 100# mL; 200# mL

pH – 6.0 – 9.0	pH – 6.0 – 9.0
Ammonia Nitrogen – 1.3 mg/L; 2.7 mg/L	Ammonia Nitrogen – 1.3 mg/L; 2.7 mg/L

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Monitoring, recording, and reporting are required (WAC 173-220-210 and 40 CFR 122.41) to verify that the treatment process is functioning correctly and the effluent limitations are being achieved.

Monitoring of sludge quantity and quality is necessary to determine the appropriate uses of the sludge. Sludge monitoring is required by the current state and local solid waste management program and also by EPA under 40 CFR 503.

The monitoring schedule is detailed in the proposed permit under Condition S.2. Specified monitoring frequencies take into account the quantity and variability of discharge, the treatment method, past compliance, significance of pollutants, and cost of monitoring. The required monitoring frequency is consistent with agency guidance given in the current version of Ecology's *Permit Writer's Manual* (July 1994) for activated sludge treatment facilities.

Additional monitoring is required in order to further characterize the effluent. These monitored pollutants could have a significant impact on the quality of the surface water.

LAB ACCREDITATION

With the exception of certain parameters the permit requires all monitoring data to be prepared by a laboratory registered or accredited under the provisions of Chapter 173-50 WAC, *Accreditation of Environmental Laboratories*. The laboratory at this facility is accredited for BOD₅, TSS, Fecal Coliforms, Ammonia, pH, Temperature, and Dissolved Oxygen:

OTHER PERMIT CONDITIONS

REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING

The conditions of S3. are based on the authority to specify any appropriate reporting and recordkeeping requirements to prevent and control waste discharges (WAC 173-220-210).

PREVENTION OF FACILITY OVERLOADING

Overloading of the treatment plant is a violation of the terms and conditions of the permit. To prevent this from occurring, RCW 90.48.110 and WAC 173-220-150 require the Permittee to take the actions detailed in proposed permit requirement S.4. to plan expansions or modifications before existing capacity is reached and to report and correct conditions that could result in new or increased discharges of pollutants. Condition S.4. restricts the amount of flow.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M)

The proposed permit contains condition S.5. as authorized under RCW 90.48.110, WAC 173-220-150, Chapter 173-230 WAC, and WAC 173-240-080. It is included to ensure proper

operation and regular maintenance of equipment, and to ensure that adequate safeguards are taken so that constructed facilities are used to their optimum potential in terms of pollutant capture and treatment.

RESIDUAL SOLIDS HANDLING

To prevent water quality problems the Permittee is required in permit condition S7. to store and handle all residual solids (grit, screenings, scum, sludge, and other solid waste) in accordance with the requirements of RCW 90.48.080 and State Water Quality Standards.

The final use and disposal of sewage sludge from this facility is regulated by U.S. EPA under 40 CFR 503, and by Ecology under Chapter 70.95J RCW and Chapter 173-308 WAC. The beneficial use of the biosolids collected in the Drimad System will be regulated under a general permit from the Department of Ecology Solid Waste Program. A permit application for the beneficial use of this class B biosolids has been filed with the Solid Waste Program. The disposal of other solid wastes including sewage headworks screenings is under the jurisdiction of the Whitman County Health Department.

PRETREATMENT

Wastewater Permit Required

RCW 90.48 and WAC 173-216-040 require SIUs to obtain a permit prior to discharge of industrial waste to the Permittee's sewerage system. This provision prohibits the POTW from accepting industrial wastewater from any such dischargers without authorization from the Department.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

General Conditions are based directly on state and federal law and regulations and have been standardized for all individual municipal NPDES permits issued by the Department.

PERMIT ISSUANCE PROCEDURES

PERMIT MODIFICATIONS

The Department may modify this permit to impose numerical limitations, if necessary to meet Water Quality Standards, Sediment Quality Standards, or Ground Water Standards, based on new information obtained from sources such as inspections, effluent monitoring, outfall studies, and effluent mixing studies.

The Department may also modify this permit as a result of new or amended state or federal regulations.

RECOMMENDATION FOR PERMIT ISSUANCE

This proposed permit meets all statutory requirements for authorizing a wastewater discharge, including those limitations and conditions believed necessary to protect human health, aquatic life, and the beneficial uses of waters of the State of Washington. The Department proposes that this permit be issued for five years.

REFERENCES FOR TEXT AND APPENDICES

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

1992. National Toxics Rule. Federal Register, V. 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992.

1991. Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control. EPA/505/2-90-001.

1988. Technical Guidance on Supplementary Stream Design Conditions for Steady State Modeling. USEPA Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

1985. Water Quality Assessment: A Screening Procedure for Toxic and Conventional Pollutants in Surface and Ground Water. EPA/600/6-85/002a.

1983. Water Quality Standards Handbook. USEPA Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

Metcalf and Eddy.

1991. Wastewater Engineering, Treatment, Disposal, and Reuse. Third Edition.

Tsivoglou, E.C., and J.R. Wallace.

1972. Characterization of Stream Reaeration Capacity. EPA-R3-72-012. (Cited in EPA 1985 op.cit.)

Washington State Department of Ecology.

Laws and Regulations(<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/index.html>)

Permit and Wastewater Related Information

(<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/wastewater/index.html>)

Washington State Department of Ecology.

1994. Permit Writer's Manual. Publication Number 92-109

Water Pollution Control Federation.

1976. Chlorination of Wastewater.

Wright, R.M., and A.J. McDonnell.

1979. In-stream Deoxygenation Rate Prediction. Journal Environmental Engineering Division, ASCE. 105(EE2). (Cited in EPA 1985 op.cit.)

APPENDIX A--PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INFORMATION

The Department has tentatively determined to reissue a permit to the applicant listed on page 1 of this fact sheet. The permit contains conditions and effluent limitations which are described in the rest of this fact sheet.

The Department will publish a Public Notice of Draft (PNOD) on April 21, 2005, in the Whitman County Gazette to inform the public that a draft permit and fact sheet are available for review. Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the draft permit. The draft permit, fact sheet, and related documents are available for inspection and copying between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. weekdays, by appointment, at the regional office listed below. Written comments should be mailed to:

Water Quality Permit Coordinator
Department of Ecology
Eastern Regional Office
4601 North Monroe Street
Spokane, WA 99205-1295

Any interested party may comment on the draft permit or request a public hearing on this draft permit within the thirty (30) day comment period to the address above. The request for a hearing shall indicate the interest of the party and the reasons why the hearing is warranted. The Department will hold a hearing if it determines there is a significant public interest in the draft permit (WAC 173-220-090). Public notice regarding any hearing will be circulated at least thirty (30) days in advance of the hearing. People expressing an interest in this permit will be mailed an individual notice of hearing (WAC 173-220-100).

Comments should reference specific text followed by proposed modification or concern when possible. Comments may address technical issues, accuracy and completeness of information, the scope of the facility's proposed coverage, adequacy of environmental protection, permit conditions, or any other concern that would result from issuance of this permit.

The Department will consider all comments received within thirty (30) days from the date of public notice of draft indicated above, in formulating a final determination to issue, revise, or deny the permit. The Department's response to all significant comments is available upon request and will be mailed directly to people expressing an interest in this permit.

Further information may be obtained from the Department by telephone, (509) 329-3400, or by writing to the address listed above.

This permit and fact sheet were written by Kim Sherwood.

APPENDIX B--GLOSSARY

Acute Toxicity--The lethal effect of a pollutant on an organism that occurs within a short period of time, usually 48 to 96 hours.

AKART-- An acronym for “all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment”.

Ambient Water Quality--The existing environmental condition of the water in a receiving water body.

Ammonia--Ammonia is produced by the breakdown of nitrogenous materials in wastewater. Ammonia is toxic to aquatic organisms, exerts an oxygen demand, and contributes to eutrophication. It also increases the amount of chlorine needed to disinfect wastewater.

Average Monthly Discharge Limitation --The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month (except in the case of fecal coliform). The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

Average Weekly Discharge Limitation -- The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week. The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

Best Management Practices (BMPs)--Schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other physical, structural and/or managerial practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State. BMPs include treatment systems, operating procedures, and practices to control: plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage. BMPs may be further categorized as operational, source control, erosion and sediment control, and treatment BMPs.

BOD₅--Determining the Biochemical Oxygen Demand of an effluent is an indirect way of measuring the quantity of organic material present in an effluent that is utilized by bacteria. The BOD₅ is used in modeling to measure the reduction of dissolved oxygen in a receiving water after effluent is discharged. Stress caused by reduced dissolved oxygen levels makes organisms less competitive and less able to sustain their species in the aquatic environment. Although BOD is not a specific compound, it is defined as a conventional pollutant under the federal Clean Water Act.

Bypass--The intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.

CBOD₅ – The quantity of oxygen utilized by a mixed population of microorganisms acting on the nutrients in the sample in an aerobic oxidation for five days at a controlled temperature of 20 degrees Celcius, with an inhibitory agent added to prevent the oxidation of nitrogen compounds. The method for determining CBOD₅ is given in 40 CFR Part 136.

Chlorine--Chlorine is used to disinfect wastewaters of pathogens harmful to human health. It is also extremely toxic to aquatic life.

Chronic Toxicity--The effect of a pollutant on an organism over a relatively long time, often 1/10 of an organism's lifespan or more. Chronic toxicity can measure survival, reproduction or growth rates, or other parameters to measure the toxic effects of a compound or combination of compounds.

Clean Water Act (CWA)--The Federal Water Pollution Control Act enacted by Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Laws 95-217, 95-576, 96-483, 97-117; USC 1251 et seq.

Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO)--The event during which excess combined sewage flow caused by inflow is discharged from a combined sewer, rather than conveyed to the sewage treatment plant because either the capacity of the treatment plant or the combined sewer is exceeded.

Compliance Inspection - Without Sampling--A site visit for the purpose of determining the compliance of a facility with the terms and conditions of its permit or with applicable statutes and regulations.

Compliance Inspection - With Sampling--A site visit to accomplish the purpose of a Compliance Inspection - Without Sampling and as a minimum, sampling and analysis for all parameters with limits in the permit to ascertain compliance with those limits; and, for municipal facilities, sampling of influent to ascertain compliance with the percent removal requirement. Additional sampling may be conducted.

Composite Sample--A mixture of grab samples collected at the same sampling point at different times, formed either by continuous sampling or by mixing a minimum of four discrete samples. May be "time-composite"(collected at constant time intervals) or "flow-proportional" (collected either as a constant sample volume at time intervals proportional to stream flow, or collected by increasing the volume of each aliquot as the flow increased while maintaining a constant time interval between the aliquots).

Construction Activity--Clearing, grading, excavation and any other activity which disturbs the surface of the land. Such activities may include road building, construction of residential houses, office buildings, or industrial buildings, and demolition activity.

Continuous Monitoring --Uninterrupted, unless otherwise noted in the permit.

Critical Condition--The time during which the combination of receiving water and waste discharge conditions have the highest potential for causing toxicity in the receiving water environment. This situation usually occurs when the flow within a water body is low, thus, its ability to dilute effluent is reduced.

Dilution Factor--A measure of the amount of mixing of effluent and receiving water that occurs at the boundary of the mixing zone. Expressed as the inverse of the effluent fraction e.g., a dilution factor of 10 means the effluent comprises 10% by volume and the receiving water 90%.

Engineering Report--A document which thoroughly examines the engineering and administrative aspects of a particular domestic or industrial wastewater facility. The report shall contain the appropriate information required in WAC 173-240-060 or 173-240-130.

Fecal Coliform Bacteria--Fecal coliform bacteria are used as indicators of pathogenic bacteria in the effluent that are harmful to humans. Pathogenic bacteria in wastewater discharges are controlled by disinfecting the wastewater. The presence of high numbers of fecal coliform bacteria in a water body can indicate the recent release of untreated wastewater and/or the presence of animal feces.

Grab Sample--A single sample or measurement taken at a specific time or over as short period of time as is feasible.

Industrial User-- A discharger of wastewater to the sanitary sewer which is not sanitary wastewater or is not equivalent to sanitary wastewater in character.

Industrial Wastewater--Water or liquid-carried waste from industrial or commercial processes, as distinct from domestic wastewater. These wastes may result from any process or activity of industry, manufacture, trade or business, from the development of any natural resource, or from animal operations such as feed lots, poultry houses, or dairies. The term includes contaminated storm water and, also, leachate from solid waste facilities.

Infiltration and Inflow (I/I)--"Infiltration" means the addition of ground water into a sewer through joints, the sewer pipe material, cracks, and other defects. "Inflow" means the addition of precipitation-caused drainage from roof drains, yard drains, basement drains, street catch basins, etc., into a sewer.

Interference -- A discharge which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, both:

Inhibits or disrupts the POTW, its treatment processes or operations, or its sludge processes, use or disposal and;

Therefore is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation) or of the prevention of sewage sludge use or disposal in compliance with the following statutory provisions and regulations or permits issued thereunder (or more stringent State or local regulations): Section 405 of the Clean Water Act, the Solid Waste Disposal Act (SWDA) (including title II, more commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and including State regulations contained in any State sludge management plan prepared pursuant to subtitle D of the SWDA), sludge regulations appearing in 40 CFR Part 507, the Clean Air Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, and the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act.

Major Facility--A facility discharging to surface water with an EPA rating score of > 80 points based on such factors as flow volume, toxic pollutant potential, and public health impact.

Maximum Daily Discharge Limitation--The highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

Method Detection Level (MDL)--The minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is above zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

Minor Facility--A facility discharging to surface water with an EPA rating score of < 80 points based on such factors as flow volume, toxic pollutant potential, and public health impact.

Mixing Zone--A volume that surrounds an effluent discharge within which water quality criteria may be exceeded. The area of the authorized mixing zone is specified in a facility's permit and follows procedures outlined in State regulations (Chapter 173-201A WAC).

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)--The NPDES (Section 402 of the Clean Water Act) is the Federal wastewater permitting system for discharges to navigable waters of the United States. Many states, including the State of Washington, have been delegated the authority to issue these permits. NPDES permits issued by Washington State permit writers are joint NPDES/State permits issued under both State and Federal laws.

Pass through -- A discharge which exits the POTW into waters of the-State in quantities or concentrations which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation), or which is a cause of a violation of State water quality standards.

pH--The pH of a liquid measures its acidity or alkalinity. A pH of 7 is defined as neutral, and large variations above or below this value are considered harmful to most aquatic life.

Potential Significant Industrial User--A potential significant industrial user is defined as an Industrial User which does not meet the criteria for a Significant Industrial User, but which discharges wastewater meeting one or more of the following criteria:

- a. Exceeds 0.5 % of treatment plant design capacity criteria and discharges <25,000 gallons per day or;
- b. Is a member of a group of similar industrial users which, taken together, have the potential to cause pass through or interference at the POTW (e.g. facilities which develop photographic film or paper, and car washes).

The Department may determine that a discharger initially classified as a potential significant industrial user should be managed as a significant industrial user.

Quantitation Level (QL)-- A calculated value five times the MDL (method detection level).

Significant Industrial User (SIU)--

- 1) All industrial users subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards under 40 CFR 403.6 and 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter N and;
- 2) Any other industrial user that: discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the POTW (excluding sanitary, noncontact cooling, and boiler blow-down wastewater); contributes a process wastestream that makes up 5 percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the POTW treatment plant; or is designated as such by the Control Authority* on the basis that the industrial user has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's operation or for violating any pretreatment standard or requirement (in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(6)).

Upon finding that the industrial user meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, above, has no reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's operation or for violating any pretreatment standard or requirement, the Control Authority* may at any time, on its own initiative or in response to a petition received from an industrial user or POTW, and in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(6), determine that such industrial user is not a significant industrial user.

*The term "Control Authority" refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology in the case of non-delegated POTWs or to the POTW in the case of delegated POTWs.

State Waters--Lakes, rivers, ponds, streams, inland waters, underground waters, salt waters, wetlands, and all other surface waters and watercourses within the jurisdiction of the state of Washington.

Stormwater--That portion of precipitation that does not naturally percolate into the ground or evaporate, but flows via overland flow, interflow, pipes, and other features of a storm water drainage system into a defined surface water body, or a constructed infiltration facility.

Technology-based Effluent Limit--A permit limit that is based on the ability of a treatment method to reduce the pollutant.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS)--Total suspended solids are the particulate materials in an effluent. Large quantities of TSS discharged to a receiving water may result in solids accumulation. Apart from any toxic effects attributable to substances leached out by water, suspended solids may kill fish, shellfish, and other aquatic organisms by causing abrasive injuries and by clogging the gills and respiratory passages of various aquatic fauna. Indirectly, suspended solids can screen out light and can promote and maintain the development of noxious conditions through oxygen depletion.

Upset--An exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, lack of preventative maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

Water Quality-based Effluent Limit--A limit on the concentration or mass of an effluent parameter that is intended to prevent the concentration of that parameter from exceeding its water quality criterion after it is discharged into a receiving water.

APPENDIX C--TECHNICAL CALCULATIONS

Several of the Excel® spreadsheet tools used to evaluate a discharger's ability to meet Washington State water quality standards can be found on the Department's homepage at (<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/wastewater/index.html>)

APPENDIX D--RESPONSE TO COMMENTS